

Applicant: Keith B. Stobie et al.  
 Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned  
 Filing Date: November 26, 2003  
 For: DYNAMICALLY TUNABLE SOFTWARE TEST

Att'y Docket No.: 13768.459

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATIONS MADE BY APPLICANTU.S. Patent Documents

<u>Examiner Initial*</u>	<u>Document Number</u>	<u>Issue Date</u>	<u>Name</u>
____ 1	5,513,315	04/30/96	Tierney et al.
____ 2	5,548,718	08/20/96	Siegel et al.
____ 3	5,774,725	06/30/98	Yadav et al.
____ 4	6,067,639	05/23/00	Rodrigues et al.
____ 5	6,408,403	06/18/02	Rodrigues et al.
____ 6	6,577,982	06/10/03	Erb

Other Documents  
(including author, title, pertinent pages, etc.)Examiner Initial\*

- ✓ 7 B. Baudry, Y. Le Traon, G. Sunye, J.-M. Jezequel, "Towards a 'Safe' Use of Design Patterns to Improve OO Software Testability", 12<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering Proceedings, IEEE, 2001: 27-30 November, Hong Kong, China, November 2001, pp. 324-329.
- ✓ 8 S. Chang-Ai, L. Chao, J. Mao-Zhong, Z. Mei, "Architecture Framework for Software Test Tool" 36<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Technology of Object-Oriented Languages and Systems Proceedings, IEEE, October-November 2000, pp. 40-47.
- ✓ 9 P.D. Coward, "A Review of Software Testing", Information and Software Technology, Vol. 30, Issue 3, April 1988, pp. 189-98.

Examiner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Considered: \_\_\_\_\_

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Keith B. Stobie et al.  
Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned  
Filing Date: November 26, 2003  
For: DYNAMICALLY TUNABLE SOFTWARE TEST

Att'y Docket No.: 13768.459

- \_\_\_\_ 10 C. Fetzer, Z. Xiao, "A Flexible Generator Architecture for Improving Software Dependability", 13<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Software Reliability Engineering Proceedings, IEEE, 2002, 12-15 November 2002, pp. 102-113.
- \_\_\_\_ 11 N.R. Hall, S. Preiser, "Dynamic Complexity Measures for Software Design", Total Systems Reliability Symposium, IEEE, December 1983, pp. 57-66.
- \_\_\_\_ 12 Y. Zhan, "Constraint Solving in Test-Data Generation", Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming - CP 2002, 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, September 2002, pp. 770-771.
- \_\_\_\_ 13 D. Hoffman, "Using Oracles in Test Automation", Nineteenth Annual Pacific Northwest Software Quality Conference, October 16-17, 2001, pp. 44-55.
- \_\_\_\_ 14 D. Ince, "A Case of Bugs, Mutants and Software Tests", Computing, July 1984, p. 19.
- \_\_\_\_ 15 Z. Kishimoto, "A System for Program Validation, Revalidation and Debugging", 2<sup>nd</sup> Annual Phoenix Conference on Computers and Communications Proceedings, IEEE, March 1983, pp. 442-446.
- \_\_\_\_ 16 A.S. Landis, "Data-Driven Test Systems", Hewlett-Packard Journal, Vol. 45, Issue No. 4, August 1994, pp. 62-66.
- \_\_\_\_ 17 R. Gupta, M.L. Soffa, "A Framework for Partial Data Flow Analysis", International Conference on Software Maintenance Proceedings, IEEE, September 1994, pp. 4-13.
- \_\_\_\_ 18 D. Munro, "Testing, testing", Systems International, Vol. 15, Issue No. 12, December 1987, pp. 51-52.
- \_\_\_\_ 19 B. Parhami, "Approach to Component Based Synthesis of Fault Tolerant Software", Informatica, Vol. 25, Issue No. 4, November 2001, pp. 533-543.
- \_\_\_\_ 20 M.J. Rochkind, "A Table-Driven Data Validator", Distributed Computing: Compcon Fall 80 Proceedings, Twenty-first IEEE Computer Society International Conference, IEEE, September 1980, pp. 712-715.
- \_\_\_\_ 21 S.H. Saib, "RXVP-Today and Tomorrow", Software Validation, Inspection-Testing-Verification-Alternatives Proceedings, North-Holland, September 1983, pp. 102-125.
- \_\_\_\_ 22 R. Strang, "Data Driven Testing for Client/Server Applications", STAR '96: Fifth International Conference, Vol. 2, May 1996, pp. 389-400.
- \_\_\_\_ 23 M. Tascillo, J. Schultz, J. Opolsky and D. Tedone, "A Real-Time Multi-Processing Computer Infrastructure for Automated Testing", 1998 IEEE Autotestcon Proceedings, IEEE, August 1998, pp. 229-233.
- \_\_\_\_ 24 P.L. Tu, J.Y. Chung, C.N. Nikolaou, "An Intelligent Approach to Verification and Testing of the Configurator", Second Symposium on Assessment of Quality Software Development Tools Proceedings, IEEE, May 1992, pp. 151-162.

Examiner:

Date Considered:

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant: Keith B. Stobie et al.  
 Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned  
 Filing Date: November 26, 2003  
 For: DYNAMICALLY TUNABLE SOFTWARE TEST

Att'y Docket No.: 13768.459

- 25 S.A. Walters, "Virtual Test Station (VTS)," 1993 IEEE/AIAA 12<sup>th</sup> Digital Avionics Systems Conference Proceedings, IEEE, October 1993, pp. 118-123.
- 26 S.S. Yau, Z. Kishimoto, "A Method Revalidating Modified Programs in the Maintenance Phase", Proceedings of COMPSAC 87, The 11<sup>th</sup> Annual International Computer Software and Applications Conference, IEEE, October 1987, pp. 272-277.
- 27 H. Zhu, P.A.V. Hall and J.H.R. May, "Software Unit Test Coverage and Adequacy", ACM Computing Surveys, Vol. 29, Issue No. 4, December 1997, pp. 366-427.
- 28 H. Zipori, Z. Sagiv, A. Yossovitch, "Approaches and Implementation of Software Test and Development System for Embedded Computer Systems", Third Israel Conference on Computer Systems and Software Engineering Proceedings, IEEE, June 1988, pp. 30-39.

#### References Cited by Applicants

While the filing of Information Disclosure Statements is voluntary, the procedure is governed by the guidelines of Section 609 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98. To be considered a proper Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 shall be accompanied by a copy of each listed patent or publication or other item of information and a translation of the pertinent portions of foreign documents (if an existing translation is readily available to the applicant), an explanation of relevance of each reference not in the English language, and should be submitted in a timely manner as set out in MPEP Sec. 609.

Examiners will consider all citations submitted in conformance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98 and MPEP Sec. 609 and place their initials adjacent the citations in the spaces provided on this form. Examiners will also initial citations not in conformance with the guidelines which may have been considered. A reference may be considered by the Examiner for any reason whether or not the citation is in full conformance with the guidelines. A line will be drawn through a citation if it is not in conformance with the guidelines AND has not been considered. A copy of the submitted form, as reviewed by the Examiner, will be returned to the applicant with the next communication. The original of the form will be entered into the application file.

Each citation initialed by the Examiner will be printed on the issued patent in the same manner as references cited by the Examiner on Form PTO-892.

The reference designations "A1," "A2," etc. (referring to Applicant's reference 1, Applicant's reference 2, etc.) will be used by the Examiner in the same manner as Examiner's reference designations "A," "B," "C," etc. on Office Action Form PTO-1142.

W:\13768\459\CM0000003201V001.doc

---

Examiner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Considered: \_\_\_\_\_

---

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609, draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

---

**TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
(Under 37 CFR 1.97(b) or 1.97(c))**

Docket No.  
13768.459

In Re Application Of: Keith B. Stobie et al.

Serial No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Group Art Unit
Not Yet Assigned	November 26, 2003	Not Yet Assigned	Not Yet Assigned

Title: DYNAMICALLY TUNABLE SOFTWARE TEST

Address to:  
**Commissioner for Patents**  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**37 CFR 1.97(b)**

1.  The Information Disclosure Statement submitted herewith is being filed within three months of the filing of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under 37 CFR 1.53(d); within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 CFR 1.491 in an international application; before the mailing of a first Office Action on the merits, or before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114.

**37 CFR 1.97(c)**

2.  The Information Disclosure Statement submitted herewith is being filed after the period specified in 37 CFR 1.97(b), provided that the Information Disclosure Statement is filed before the mailing date of a Final Action under 37 CFR 1.113, a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an Action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and is accompanied by one of:
  - the statement specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e);

OR

- the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p).

**TRANSMITTAL OF INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
(Under 37 CFR 1.97(b) or 1.97(c))**

Docket No.  
13768.459

In Re Application: Keith B. Stobie et al.

Serial No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Group Art Unit
Not Yet Assigned	November 26, 2003	Not Yet Assigned	Not Yet Assigned

**DYNAMICALLY TUNABLE SOFTWARE TEST**

**Payment of Fee**

(Only complete if Applicant elects to pay the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p))

- A check in the amount of \_\_\_\_\_ is attached.
- The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 23-3178 as described below.
- Charge the amount of \_\_\_\_\_
- Credit any overpayment.
- Charge any additional fee required.

**Certificate of Transmission by Facsimile\***

I certify that this document and authorization to charge deposit account is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (F:

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

Signature

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate


**Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail**

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on \_\_\_\_\_ with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Certificate

\*This certificate may only be used if paying by deposit account.

  
Eric M. Kamerath  
Signature

Dated: November 26, 2003

Eric M. Kamerath  
Registration No. 46,081  
Attorney for Applicants

Customer No. 022913

CC:

EXPRESS MAIL NO. EV329631465US

PATENT APPLICATION  
Docket No: 13768.459

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of )  
                        )  
                        )  
                        Keith B. Stobie et al. )  
                        )  
Serial No.:         Not Yet Assigned )  
                        )  
Confirmation No.: Not Yet Assigned )  
                        )  
Filed:              November 26, 2003 )  
                        )  
For:                 DYNAMICALLY TUNABLE SOFTWARE )  
                        )  
                        TEST )

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please find, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(1), the enclosed Form PTO-1449 which contains a list of all patents, publications, or other items that have come to the attention of one or more of the individuals designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c). While no representation is made that any of these references may be "prior art" within the meaning of that term under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 or 103, the enclosed list of references is disclosed so as to fully comply with the duty of disclosure set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56.

Moreover, while no representation is made that a specific search of office files or patent office records has been conducted or that no better art exists, the undersigned attorney of record

believes that the enclosed art is the closest to the claimed invention (taken in its entirety) of which the undersigned is presently aware, and no art which is closer to the claimed invention (taken in its entirety) has been knowingly withheld.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, a copy of each of the listed references or relevant portion thereof is also enclosed.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(c), all English translations within the possession, custody, control or availability of anyone designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) of each non-English reference, if any, are also enclosed.

Since all listed references are either in the English language or are accompanied by a translation into English, no concise explanation of relevance is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3).

DATED this 26 day of November 2003.

Respectfully submitted,



Rick D. Nydegger  
Registration No. 28,651  
Eric M. Kamerath  
Registration No. 46,081  
Attorneys for Applicant

Customer No. 022913